



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,708	06/20/2001		Konstantin Youdenko	NL000373	4218
24737	7590	01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN P.O. BOX 30		CTUAL PROPER?	SHRADER, LAWRENCE J		
		R, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2124	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/885,708	YOUDENKO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Lawrence Shrader	2124				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	p ars on the cover sheet with the c	orr spond nce address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	September 2004.					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,708 Page 2

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed by the applicant on 9/13/2004.
 Claims 1 – 3 have been cancelled as requested by the Applicant.

Oath/Declaration

2. The updated Oath/Declaration submitted on 10/06/2004 is acknowledged and accepted.

Claim Objections

3. The claim objections with respect to claims 10 and 12 in the last office action are withdrawn in light of the clarifications made by the Applicant in the amendment filed on 9/13/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4; 10; 11; and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Racine, U.S. Patent 4,811,243 in view of Cortopassi et al., U.S. Patent 6,707,942 (hereinafter referred to as Cortopassi).

Page 3

In regard to claim 4:

drawing means for enabling a user to create a drawing.

the programming means being arranged for converting said drawing to a programming of said parameter, each point of said drawing having an x-coordinate and a ycoordinate with respect to two orthogonal coordinate axes, said x-coordinate determining a point of time in said programming, the programming means being arranged for identifying a stroke in said drawing. and programming said parameter in accordance with at least one property of said stroke, the programming means being arranged for deriving a value of said parameter a thickness and/or a color of said stroke at a certain point of time corresponding to the x-coordinate.

Racine discloses a system for controlling a parameter and programming a parameter with a drawing means enabling the user to create a drawing, and the programming means for converting the drawing to a programming of the parameter (column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 8). Racine teaches an x-y coordinate pointer means to calculate parameters (column 1, lines 57 - 62), but does not identify the x-coordinate with a thickness or color parameter. However, Cortopassi discloses a device having a graphical parameter range setting with the x-coordinate as a time duration (e.g., Figure 8 and column 9, lines 8 – 31) and having thickness/color parameters (e.g., Figure 15 and; column 12, lines 17 – 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the well known Racin pointer coordinate system with the digitizing pad system as taught by Cortopassi having a time duration coordinate and width/color parameters, because the combination incorporates the well known x-y coordinate digitization in addition to allowing the setting of ranges of parameters other than position allowing width information to be parameterized as taught by Cortopassi in the Abstract.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,708

Art Unit: 2124

In regard to claim 10 (an apparatus), it is rejected for the same corresponding reasons put forth in the rejection of claim 4 (a corresponding system).

Page 4

In regard to claim 11 (a method), it is rejected for the same corresponding reasons put forth in the rejection of claim 4 (a corresponding system).

In regard to claim 12 (a computer program product), it is rejected for the same corresponding reasons put forth in the rejection of claim 4 (a corresponding system).

Claims 5 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Racine, U.S. 6. Patent 4,811,243 in view of Cortopassi et al., U.S. Patent 6,707,942 as applied to claim 4, and further in view of Gingras, U.S. Patent 4,236,084.

In regard to claim 5, incorporating the rejection of claim 4:

...said parameter being an ambient temperature, said value being a height of said ambient temperature."

In regard to claim 6, incorporating the rejection of claim 4:

...said parameter being an audio and/or video presentation, said value being a sound level of said presentation."

In regard to claim 7, incorporating the rejection of claim 4:

...said parameter being an audio and/or video presentation, said value being a category of said audio and/or video presentation."

In regard to claim 8, incorporating the rejection of claim 4:

Application/Control Number: 09/885,708 Page 5

Art Unit: 2124

"...said parameter being an ambient light, said value being an intensity of said ambient

light."

In regard to claim 9, incorporating the rejection of claim 4:

"...the system being capable of controlling a plurality of parameters, the programming means being arranged for deriving an identity of said parameter from said y-coordinate

and/or a thickness and/or a color of said stroke, and/or a label near said stroke."

Racine discloses a coordinate pointer system that generates a signal corresponding to the

x-y coordinate of the pointer, but neither Racine nor Cortopassi discloses a parameter as ambient

temperature, a sound level, a category, ambient light, or a plurality of parameters. However,

Gingras discloses a plurality of sensed parameters including, temperature, or any other parameter

that can be converted to an electrical signal (e.g., column 3, lines 1 - 10), thus covering all the

parameters of claims 5 through 9. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art

at the time the invention was made to combine the coordinate pointer system as taught by Racine

modified by Cortopassi to include thickness/color parameters having a time duration coordinate,

further modified with the parameter sensor feature taught in the Gingras invention, because the

modification produces a parameter programming means (a control module having an x-y

coordinate pointer as taught by Racine and controlled over time as modified by Cortopassi) for

programming the states of the control signals as taught by Gingras at column 13, lines 38 – 48.

Response to Arguments

7. The Applicant's arguments are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,708

Art Unit: 2124

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lawrence Shrader whose telephone number is (571) 272-3734.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chai

Lawrence Shrader Examiner Art Unit 2124

11 January 2005

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISOR: JENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6